UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,916	09/20/2005	Man Su Park	0465-1433PUS1	4786
	7590 10/31/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/549,916	PARK, MAN SU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL L. ROBINSON	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Jo This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-40 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 19-40 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ithdrawn from consideration. or election requirement. or.	Evaminar.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and accomposition as a composition and accomposition as a composition as a composition accomposition accompositio	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 5-6, 8-9, 13-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim(U.S.Pat.6,737,620) in view Waligorski(U.S.Pat.5,491,322). Kim discloses a microwave oven having a coffee maker that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a handle on a reservoir or a recessed receiving portion. Waligorski discloses a drip coffee maker for use with a microwave oven that explicitly shows a recess and a reservoir with a handle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a handles with the reservoir to preclude the reservoir becoming to hot to hold and a recess so as to match with the pump tube.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim and Waligorski in view of Biefeldt et al.(U.S.Pat.6,006,655). Kim discloses a microwave oven having a coffee maker that shows many of the features of the claimed

invention but fails to show hinged filter basket. Bielfeldt discloses a coffee machine and filter element therefore that shows a hinged filter element. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a hinged filter element as taught by Bielfeldt to facilitate handling he filter.

Claims 12 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim and Waligorski in view of Sham et al.(U.S.Pat.6,227,102). Kim discloses a microwave oven having a coffee maker that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a hinged cover. Sham discloses an automatic coffee maker with a grinder that shows a hinged cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the claimed invention to use a hinged cover as taught by Sham with the device of Kim so as to sustain the swing-out beverage extractor.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Settles and Olson are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,916 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr/Daniel L Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742